arXiv:1510.02143v1 [math.CO] 7 Oct 2015

ON THE CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL OF A SUPERTROPICAL ADJOINT MATRIX

YAROSLAV SHITOV

Abstract. Let $\chi(A)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of a matrix A over a field; a standard result of linear algebra states that $\chi(A^{-1})$ is the reciprocal polynomial of $\chi(A)$. More formally, the condition $\chi^n(X)\chi^k(X^{-1}) = \chi^{n-k}(X)$ holds for any invertible $n \times n$ matrix X over a field, where $\chi^i(X)$ denotes the coefficient of λ^{n-i} in the characteristic polynomial $\det(\lambda I - X)$. We confirm a recent conjecture of Niv by proving the tropical analogue of this result.

The supertropical semifield is a relatively new concept arisen as a tool for studying problems of tropical mathematics [2]. The supertropical theory is now a developed branch of algebra, and we refer the reader to [3] for a survey of basics and applications. Our arguments make use of some other structures including fields and polynomial rings over them, so it will be convenient for us to work with slightly unusual equivalent description of supertropical semifield. In particular, we will denote the operations by $\oplus$ and $\odot$ to avoid confusion with standard operations $+$ and $\cdot$ over a field. For the same reason, we will use the notation $u^{\odot i}$ in supertropical setting while u^i will denote a power of element of a field. Similarly, we will denote the supertropical determinant by $\det_\circ$ reserving the notation det for usual determinant over a field. Let us recall the definitions of concepts mentioned above.

Let $(\mathcal{G}, *, 0, \leq)$ be an ordered Abelian group, and $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{(1)}$ be two copies of $\mathcal{G}$. We consider the semiring $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \cup \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ with two commutative operations, denoted by $\oplus$ and $\odot$. Assume $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $a, b \in \mathcal{G}$, and let $a < b$; the operations are defined by $\varepsilon \oplus s = s$, $\varepsilon \odot s = \varepsilon$, $a^{(i)} \oplus b^{(j)} = b^{(j)}$, $b^{(i)} \oplus b^{(j)} = b^{(0)}$, $a^{(i)} \odot b^{(j)} = (a * b)^{(ij)}$. One can note that $\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to *supertropical semifield*, and the elements from $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{(1)}$ correspond to *ghost* and *tangible* elements, respectively. We define the mapping ν sending $a^{(i)}$ to $a \in \mathcal{G}$ and ε to ε; we say that $c, d \in \mathcal{S}$ are *ν-equivalent* whenever $\nu(c) = \nu(d)$, and we write $c \approx_\nu d$ in this case. Also, we write $c \models d$ if either $c = d$ or $c = d + g$, for some ghost element g; this relation is known as *ghost surpassing* relation, which is one of fundamental concepts replacing equality in many theorems taken from classical algebra [3]. By $u^{\odot i}$ we denote the ith supertropical power of u, that is, the result of multiplying u by itself i times. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a supertropical matrix; its determinant is

$$\det_\circ A = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} \odot \ldots \odot a_{n\sigma(n)},$$

where S_n denotes a symmetric group on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The matrix A is said to be *non-singular* if $\det_\circ A$ is tangible; equivalently, A is non-singular if $\det_\circ A$ has a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A80.
Key words and phrases. tropical algebra, matrix theory.

multiplicative inverse in $\mathcal{S}$. The (i,j)th *cofactor* of A is the supertropical determinant of the matrix obtained from A by removing ith row and jth column. By $\operatorname{adj}_\circ A$ we denote the *adjoint* of A, that is, the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i,j)th entry equals the (j,i)th cofactor. By $\chi^k_\circ(A)$ we denote the supertropical sum of all principal $k \times k$ minors of A, that is, the coefficient of $\lambda^{\odot(n-k)}$ in the *characteristic polynomial* $\det_\circ(A \oplus \lambda \odot I_\circ)$. Note that ε and $0^{(1)}$ are neutral elements with respect to $\oplus$ and $\odot$, respectively; therefore, the *supertropical identity matrix* $I_\circ$ has elements $0^{(1)}$ on diagonal and ε's everywhere else. The following has been an open problem.

Conjecture 1. [1, Conjecture 6.2] *Let $A \in \mathcal{S}^{n\times n}$ be a non-singular matrix. Then, $\chi^k_\circ(\operatorname{adj}_\circ A) \models (\det_\circ A)^{\odot(k-1)} \odot \chi^{n-k}_\circ(A)$ holds for all $k \in \{0,\dots,n\}$.*

Actually, Niv formulates this conjecture in a slightly different but equivalent way. If A is tropically non-singular, then its *pseudoinverse* is defined as $A^\nabla = (\det A)^{\odot(-1)} \odot (\operatorname{adj}_\circ A)$. Multiplying both sides of equality in Conjecture 1 by $(\det A)^{\odot(1-k)}$, one gets $(\det_\circ A) \odot \chi^k_\circ(A^\nabla) \models \chi^{n-k}_\circ(A)$, which is exactly the formulation given by Niv. To prove Conjecture 1, we consider the $n \times n$ matrix V consisting of variables (v_{ij}), and we define polynomials $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}[V]$ as

$$\alpha = \chi^k_\circ(\operatorname{adj}_\circ V), \quad \beta = (\det_\circ V)^{\odot(k-1)} \odot \chi^{n-k}_\circ(V).$$

Note that any coefficient of α and β is either $0^{(0)}$ or $0^{(1)}$; define $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}[V]$ as the supertropical sum of those monomials that appear in β with tangible coefficients.

Claim 2. *If $A \in \mathcal{S}^{n\times n}$ is a non-singular matrix, then $\beta(A) = \gamma(A)$.*

Proof. By definition, β is supertropical sum of monomials $m_\mu = m^1_\mu \odot m^2_\mu$, where

$$m^1_\mu = \left(\bigodot_{i=1}^n v_{i\sigma_1(i)}\right) \odot \dots \odot \left(\bigodot_{i=1}^n v_{i\sigma_{k-1}(i)}\right), \quad m^2_\mu = \bigodot_{j\in J} v_{j\tau(j)},$$

over all tuples $\mu = (\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_{k-1},J,\tau)$ such that $\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_{k-1} \in S_n$, a subset $J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ has $n-k$ elements, and τ is a permutation of J.

Assume $\beta(A) \neq \varepsilon$. Suppose that there exist distinct tuples μ and $\mu' = (\sigma'_1,\dots,\sigma'_{k-1},J',\tau')$ satisfying $m_\mu = m_{\mu'}$ and $\beta(A) \approx_\nu m_\mu(A)$. Then, since there is a unique permutation σ such that $\bigodot_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} \approx_\nu \det_\circ A$, we have that all σ_t and all σ'_t are equal to σ. In particular, we have $m^1_\mu = m^1_{\mu'}$, which implies $m^2_\mu = m^2_{\mu'}$. Note that the latter condition in turn implies $J = J'$ and $\tau = \tau'$, a contradiction.

Therefore, either $\beta(A) = \varepsilon$ or $\nu(m(A)) \neq \nu(\beta(A))$ holds for any monomial m that appears in β with ghost coefficient. This means that we can remove all these monomials from β without changing the value of $\beta(A)$. □

Claim 3. *If a monomial $v_{11}^{\odot k_{11}} \odot \dots \odot v_{nn}^{\odot k_{nn}}$ appears with a tangible coefficient in either α or β, then it appears in both α and β with coefficients different from ε.*

Proof. Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be a matrix whose entries are variables of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_{11},\dots,x_{nn}]$, and define $\varphi = \chi^k(\operatorname{adj} X)$, $\psi = (\det X)^{k-1} \chi^{n-k}(X)$. Let us get rid of brackets by distributivity in the standard expressions of φ and ψ and denote the expressions we obtain before canceling terms by φ_0 and ψ_0, respectively. Now, if we replace any monomial $\pm x_{11}^{k_{11}} \dots x_{nn}^{k_{nn}}$ by $0^{(1)} \odot v_{11}^{\odot k_{11}} \odot \dots \odot v_{nn}^{\odot k_{nn}}$ in φ_0 and ψ_0, we get $\alpha(V)$ and $\beta(V)$. Since the equality $\varphi = (-1)^n\psi$ is true for matrices over a field, the total number of appearances in φ_0 and ψ_0 is even for any monomial. □

Claim 4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{S}^{n\times n}$ be a non-singular matrix. If $\alpha(A)$ is a tangible element, then there is $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\beta(A) = \alpha(A) \oplus s$.*

Proof. By assumption, there is a monomial $m = v_{11}^{\odot k_{11}} \odot \ldots \odot v_{nn}^{\odot k_{nn}}$ appearing in α with tangible coefficient such that $m(A) = \alpha(A)$. But m appears in β as well by Claim 3, so the result follows. □

Claim 5. *There is a polynomial $\rho \in \mathcal{S}[V]$ such that $\alpha = \gamma \oplus \rho$.*

Proof. By definition of γ, it is the sum of monomials that appear in β with tangible coefficients. All these monomials appear in α as well by Claim 3. □

Proof of Conjecture 1. By Claims 2 and 5, there is $u \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\alpha(A) = \beta(A) \oplus u$. Claim 4 rules out the case when u is tangible and greater than $\beta(A)$. It remains to note that $\alpha(A)$ and $\beta(A)$ are, respectively, the left-hand and right-hand sides of the assertion of Conjecture 1. □

References

[1] A. Niv, On pseudo-inverses of matrices and their characteristic polynomials in supertropical algebra, Linear Algebra Appl. 471 (2015) 264–290.
[2] Z. Izhakian, L. Rowen, Supertropical algebra, Adv. Math. 225 (2010) 2222–2286.
[3] Z. Izhakian, L. Rowen, A guide to supertropical algebra, Trends in Mathematics, Advances in Ring Theory (2010) 283–302.

National Research University Higher School of Economics, 20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russia
E-mail address: yaroslav-shitov@yandex.ru